Attachment 7: Post-evaluation

Attachment 7 to Vogt L, Schmidt M, Follmann A, Lenes A, Klasen M, Sopka S. *Telemedicine in medical education: An example of a digital preparatory course for the clinical traineeship – a pre-post comparison.* GMS J Med Educ. 2022;39(4):Doc46. DOI: 10.3205/zma001567



Clinical Traineeship Preparatory Course - POST

Dear students,

Thank you for taking the time to fill out this questionnaire.

The aim is to evaluate our Clinical Traineeship Preparatory Course at Aixtra and to adapt the teaching to the needs of the students.

This survey will take about 10 minutes.

Participation is <u>voluntary</u> and <u>anonymous</u>. No one will be able to match you with your answers.

If you have any questions, we will be happy to answer them. Thank you for your participation!

AIXTRA Team



Please enter your **personal code** according to the following instructions:

First letter of your mother's first name First letter of your father's first name Your mother's day of birth Your father's day of birth

Example:

Mother's first name: Anna => A Father's first name: Max => M

Mother's day of birth: March 5th => 05 Father's day of birth: November 12th => 12

Example code: AM0512

Personal code



Course date

Please select the date of your course:							
Date							
General Questions about the Clinical Traineeship Preparatory Course							
Please rate the following statements.							
	Disag	Disagree completely			comple	etely	
My expectations for this AIXTRA preparatory of	course w	ere met.					
The instructors/tutors encouraged me to activ	vely parti	cipate.					
The course learning objectives were clearly de	efined.						
The presentation of the learning material was	understa	andable.					
A uniform teaching strategy was visible in the	teaching	of pract	ical skills	S.			
The practice time was sufficient for me.							
I don't need the practiced skills for the clinical	trainees	hip.					
The atmosphere was predominantly construct	tive.						



ECG Segment

Please rate the following statements on the course segment dealing with ECGs.

	Disag	Disagree completely		Agree complete		tely
I can explain the basic differences between Ein	ithoven,	Goldber	ger and '	Wilson I	eads.	
I can independently do a 12-channel ECG (elec	trode pla	acement	and lead	ds).		
I can recognize a physiological ECG and identify	y the cha	aracterist	ics of a p	physiolo	gical E	CG.
I can determine the axis based on an ECG and			eparate	steps (u	ındersta	and
the physiological principles instead of memoria	zing the	steps).				
I know how to proceed with a structured ECG f	finding.					
I can recognize and identify the basic patholog	ical signs	of atrial	fibrillat	ion on a	n ECG.	
I can recognize and identify the basic pathological signs of ventricular and						
supraventricular extrasystoles on an ECG.						
I can recognize and identify the basic patholog	ical signs	of an A\	/ block o	on an EC	CG.	
I can recognize the basic pathological signs of a myocardial infarct on an ECG and						
determine the location of the infarct.						
Learning a standardized interpretation proced	ure help:	s me to s	tructure	the res	ults of	
ECGs.						

Evaluation of the ECG Segment

Please rate the following statements on the course segment dealing with ECGs.

		Disagree completely			Agree completely		
	I have improved my understanding of ECG basic	as a resu	It of the	ECG cou	rse.		
	I feel more confident in interpreting an ECG resu	It as a re	sult of th	e ECG c	ourse.		
	My expectations for the ECG course were met.						
	The ECG course was clearly structured in its orga	inization.					
	The theoretical part of the ECG course was appro	opriate.					
	The theoretical principles were taught in an undo	erstanda	ble way.				
	The time for independent practice during the co	urse was	sufficier	it.			
	The scope of the learning materials was appropr	iate.					
	The important points in the course materials we	re made	clear.				
	The level of the ECG course was appropriate.						
	The test questions were appropriate.						
Simulated Patient Segment							
Today I performed a practical anamnesis exercise with a simulated patient.							
(Yes No						

Evaluation of the Segment with Simulated Patients

Please rate the following statements on the course segment with simulated patients.

	Disagr	Disagree completely		Agree completel		tely
I learned how to build a solid doctor-patient rela	tionship.					
I learned how to take a structured case history.						
I learned how to explain the course of further ac	tion to a	patient.				
The anamnesis training was important preparati	on for m	y upcom	ing clinic	cal train	eeship.	
The feedback from the simulated patient was he	lpful for	me.				
The feedback of my fellow students was helpful	for me.					
The feedback from the instructors was helpful for	or me.					
The anamnesis training was important preparati	on for m	y upcom	ing clinic	al train	eeship.	
The instructor clearly structured the anamnesis t	raining se	ession.				
The instructor was open to answering questions.						
The instructor gave satisfactory answers to the q	uestions	that wer	e asked.			

Subjective Confidence

Please rate how confident you currently feel when performing the following tasks:

	Agree completely		
How confident do you feel in taking a structured case history?			
How confident do you feel in using the ISOBAR checklist?			
How confident do you feel in communicating appropriately with a patient	?		
How confident do you feel in making a precise and accurate medical hand	over?		
How confident do you feel in communicating appropriately with members other healthcare professions?	of the		

Comments and Suggestions I really liked the following about this course: I did not like the following about this course: I have these suggestions for improving the course: Other comments:

I give the Clinical Traineeship Preparatory Course the following overall score (conventional German academic grading scale):

Please assign one grade for the course (1 = "excellent", 6 = "deficient / very poor"):

1
2
3
4
5
6