Attachment 3: Results of the semester evaluations of winter semester 2019/20 and summer semester 2020.

The mean values of the answers rounded to one decimal. Broken down into subgroups: Medical students, psychology students and participants of the elective subject.

Five-level Likert scale: 1=completely disagree, 2=Mostly disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Mostly agree, 5=Completely agree.

		winter se	emester 201	9/20 - classro	oom teaching	summer	· semester 2	020 - digita	teaching
Eva	luation question	total	med.	psych.	elective	total	med.	psych.	elective
1.	there was a pleasant group atmosphere in my	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.7				
	group					5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0
2.	the group size was pleasant	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
3.	the participation in the anamnesis group motivated me to think for myself	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.8
4.	in the anamnesis group I was able to practically apply content	4.1	3.9	4.4	4.3				
5.	from my studies the participation	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.2
	in the anamnesis group motivated me to actively participate					4.8	4.7	4.9	4.8
6.	I had the feeling that I could contribute my own suggestions at any time	4.6	4.8	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.8	4.8
7.	I learned things in the anamnesis groups that were not yet part of my studies	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.6
8.	questions that arose after the patient interviews were sufficiently discussed	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
9.	I received helpful feedback for my patient interview	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.9

Attachment 3 to: Kunisch R, Zimmermann P, Berges N, Nitschke M, Schweiger F, Seidl M, Weidenbusch M. *E-learning in peer-teaching of patient relations and communication skills at the "Anamnesegruppen" Munich – proof-of-concept and lessons learned.* GMS J Med Educ. 2021;38(1):Doc4. DOI: 10.3205/zma001400

10. the patient interviews were	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9				
sufficiently debriefed					5.0	5.0	4.9	4.9
11. I had the feeling that I could ask	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.3		5.0	.,	
questions at any time					5.0	5.0	4.9	4.9
12. the tutors supported the group constructively in	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.6				
case of problems					5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0
13. as a result of the anamnesis groupI was able to reduce prejudices	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9				
towards patients					3.9	3.7	3.9	3.9
14. by participating in the anamnesis group I feel better prepared for future patient	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3				
contact	4	4 1	2.0	4	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.8
15. as a result of the participation I was able to reduce my fear	4	4.1	3.9	4				
towards patients	2.0	2.7	2.0	2.0	4.2	3.8	4.3	4.3
16. the participation helped me to better orientate myself regarding my professional future	3.8	3.7	3.9	3.8	3.9	4.3	3.6	3.6
17. as a result of the anamnesis group I learned about my own strengths and weaknesses	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.1				
	4.5	4.4	4.8	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.4
 I was able to learn something due to the interdisciplinary nature (e.g. medicine and psychology) of 	4.5	4.4	4.8	4.4				
the sessions					4.6	4.3	4.8	4.9

Attachment 3 to: Kunisch R, Zimmermann P, Berges N, Nitschke M, Schweiger F, Seidl M, Weidenbusch M. *E-learning in peer-teaching of patient relations and communication skills at the "Anamnesegruppen" Munich – proof-of-concept and lessons learned.* GMS J Med Educ. 2021;38(1):Doc4. DOI: 10.3205/zma001400

19. I noticed a	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.6				
difference in								
motivation								
between								
psychology and								
medical students	1.00	1.0	1.5	1.0	2.7	2.2	3.0	3.0
20. psychology and	1.32	1.2	1.5	1.2				
medical students								
were treated								
differently by the					1 1	1.0	1 1	1 1
tutors 21. I noticed a	2.32	2.4	2.3	1.9	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1
difference in the	2.32	2.4	2.5	1.9				
quality of patient								
interviews								
between								
psychology and								
medical students					1.8	1.7	1.8	1.7
22. I noticed a	1.1	1.1	1	1.1	1.0	1.7	1.0	1.7
difference in								
motivation								
between elective								
and non-elective								
participants					1.1	1.0	1.2	1.2
23. elective and non-	1	1	1	1				
elective students								
were treated								
differently by the								
tutors					1.2	1.3	1.1	1.1
24. I noticed a	1	1	1	1				
difference in the								
quality of patient								
interviews								
between elective								
and non-elective participants					1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1
25. I will recommend	4.7	4.6	4.9	4.5	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1
the anamnesis	1.7	1.0	1.2	1.5				
groups					4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
26. I will recommend	4.1	4.3	3.9	4.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
the anamnesis								
group as an								
elective					4.6	4.0	4.9	4.9
27. I would give the	1.2	1.4	1	1.6				
following school								
grade (1=best;								
6=worst) to the								
anamnesis group:					1.1	1.0	1.2	1.1

Total response - summer semester 2019: 21; summer semester 2020: 20

Attachment 3 to: Kunisch R, Zimmermann P, Berges N, Nitschke M, Schweiger F, Seidl M, Weidenbusch M. *E-learning in peer-teaching of patient relations and communication skills at the "Anamnesegruppen" Munich – proof-of-concept and lessons learned.* GMS J Med Educ. 2021;38(1):Doc4. DOI: 10.3205/zma001400